

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

TRANSCRIPT OF  
CITY OF ATLANTA  
OFFICE OF PLANNING - ATLANTA

IN RE: MEETING

DATE RECORDED: 12/8/2021

A P P E A R A N C E S

1 MALLOY PETERSON - DIFAC CHAIR

2 KEVIN GREEN - DIFAC MEMBER

3 RODERICK TEACHEY - DIFAC MEMBER

4 STACEY MCCOY - DIFAC MEMBER

5 JIM BROWN - DIFAC MEMBER

6 TIFFANI COPE - IMPACT FEE COORDINATOR, COA

7 DEEPTI SINGH - BUSINESS MANAGER, COA

8 JANIDE SIDIFALL - DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, COA

9 SHONTAE DURRAH - PROJECT MANAGER, ATLDOT, COA

10 IAN MACRAE - PROJECT MANAGER ATLDOT-COA

11 JONATHAN FUTRELL - COA ATTORNEY

12 PATTIE WALDEN - DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING, COA

13 PAUL THOMAS - GIS MANAGER

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 R E C O R D I N G

2 TIFFANI COPE: Good afternoon, everyone. And thank  
3 you for joining today's call. I'm Tiffani Cope, with the  
4 Business Operations Team for the Department of City Planning. I  
5 would like to welcome you all to today's meeting. We will begin  
6 shortly, once we have a quorum. Okay. We now have a quorum and  
7 may begin the meeting. Please be advised this meeting will be  
8 recorded. Before we begin, I would like to acknowledge any  
9 elected officials or city council members we may have today.  
10 Are there any? I don't see anyone. Madam Chair, you may begin  
11 when ready.

12 MALLOY PETERSON: Good afternoon. Today is Wednesday,  
13 December 8, 2021. We'd like to thank you for tuning in to the  
14 City of Atlanta Development Impact Fee Advisory Committee  
15 virtual meeting. My name is Malloy Peters, Chair of the  
16 Committee. This is a five-member advisory committee appointed  
17 by the Mayor and the Atlanta City Council. The purpose of the  
18 Development Impact Fee Advisory Committee pursuant to the City  
19 of Atlanta Code of Ordinances Part III, Part VI, Chapter IV, and  
20 Section 6-5008 is as follows: (1) To serve in an advisory  
21 capacity to assist and advise the Atlanta City Council with  
22 regard to the adoption of an amendment to the City's Development  
23 Impact Fee ordinance for any new development impact the  
24 ordinance. (2) To receive the annual report required by O.G. --  
25 O.C.G.A. § 36-71-8(d)(2) and if warranted upon review of the

1 annual report submit a written report to Atlanta City Council.  
2 Many perceived inequities and expenditure of impact fees  
3 collected for road, streets, bridges including right of way,  
4 traffic signal, landscaping, or any local component of state or  
5 federal highways. Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 36-71-5(c) no action  
6 of the Committee shall be considered a necessary prerequisite  
7 for action by the Atlanta City Council in regards to the  
8 adoption of a Development Impact Fee Ordinance.

9           Until further notice, the meetings of the City of  
10 Atlanta Development Fee Advisory -- Impact Fee Advisory  
11 Committee will be virtually via Zoom. We ask for your patience  
12 in the event of any technical difficulties that you may -- that  
13 may cause Committee members to experience a loss or interrupted  
14 connection. Staff has muted all microphones and we ask that all  
15 participants remain muted for the duration of the meeting,  
16 unless you've been recognized by the Chair. This will minimize  
17 background noise and feedback and ensure that you've been  
18 recognized by the Chair. Sorry -- that all participants shall  
19 hear comments clearly. For the benefit of anyone who has called  
20 in, I will ask Committee members to please identify themselves  
21 each time they speak, make a motion, or second a motion, or  
22 vote.

23           Public comment received via the  
24 coaimpactfees@atlantaga.gov mailbox up to one hour before each  
25 meeting will be read by staff during the public comment period

1 and posted online via the Impact Fee Update webpage. At this  
2 time, I will take role call to confirm and quorum and call the  
3 meeting to order. Jim Brown. Kevin Green. Stacey McCoy.

4 STACEY MCCOY: Present.

5 MALLOY PETERSON: Thank you. Roderick Teachey.

6 RODERICK TEACHEY: Present.

7 MALLOY PETERSON: With three members present, we do  
8 have a quorum and will proceed with the meeting. A copy of the  
9 agenda for today's meeting was sent to Committee members and can  
10 be found on our website. Staff will type a link into the chat.  
11 At this time, I will entertain a motion to approve the agenda.

12 RODERICK TEACHEY: Rod Teachey. So moved.

13 MALLOY PETERSON: Is there a second?

14 STACEY MCCOY: I second. Stacey McCoy.

15 MALLOY PETERSON: We have a second. We'll take a vote  
16 on the approval of the -- the agenda. Rod Teachey?

17 RODERICK TEACHEY: Approved.

18 MALLOY PETERSON: Stacey McCoy?

19 STACEY MCCOY: Approved.

20 MALLOY PETERSON: And I'm Malloy Peters, vote yea, the  
21 motions carries with a vote of three yeas, zero nay. Next, I'll  
22 entertain the Motion to Adopt the July 14, 2021 meeting --  
23 meeting minutes.

24 RODERICK TEACHEY: So moved, Rod Teachey.

25 MALLOY PETERSON: Is there a second?

1 STACEY MCCOY: Second. Stacey McCoy.

2 MALLOY PETERSON: Then we have a second. We'll take a  
3 vote on the approval of the July 14, 2021 minutes. Rod Teachey?

4 RODERICK TEACHEY: Yea.

5 MALLOY PETERSON: Stacey McCoy?

6 STACEY MCCOY: Approved.

7 MALLOY PETERSON: Malloy Peterson, vote yea. With  
8 that motion carries with a vote of three yeas, zero nays. Now,  
9 staff will read the public comment received via the COA Impact  
10 Fees mailbox. Madam Chair, there were no comments received.

11 TIFFANI COPE: Madam Chair, there were no comments  
12 received.

13 MALLOY PETERSON: Okay. Thank you. On our agenda  
14 next we have the City Planning Department, along with members  
15 from ATL DOT prepared to present on the Transportation Impact  
16 Fee Equity Analysis from the 2022 to 2026 Capital Improvements  
17 Element or CIE. Then following the presentation, the Committee  
18 will discuss and vote on the distribution of equity of  
19 transportation impact fees. A copy of this presentation was  
20 provided to the Committee in advance.

21 TIFFANI COPE: Thank you. Just give me a few moments  
22 to share my screen. Okay. You all should see the Impact Fee  
23 Equity Analysis. One charge of the Impact Fee Advisory  
24 Committee is to report on perceived inequities and the  
25 expenditure of transportation impact fees. As stated, today we

1 will review the transportation equity analysis for the 2022 to  
2 2026 Capital Improvements Element or CIE. So, what constitutes  
3 an impact fee inequity? An inequity will result from fines  
4 being expended without conducting the required analysis needed  
5 to determine proximity or a level of service improvement to the  
6 areas of collection. Today's presentation of the 2022 to 2026  
7 CIE covers fiscal years 2015 through 2020. As this analysis  
8 reviews six years of data, in addition 2020 were the last  
9 audited figures during the 2022 CIE update. To illustrate  
10 impact fee collections to encumbrances heat maps are used in  
11 this analysis. I would like to note during the Committee's July  
12 14<sup>th</sup> meeting, staff received requests from Committee members to  
13 improve the mapping options to include number one, maps that  
14 show projects within hot spots. Number two, maps that show an  
15 overlay of collections and encumbrances. And thirdly, an option  
16 to view dollar amount.

17           So, today we're going to do something a little  
18 different. We're going to access the new web map application to  
19 present the equity analysis while also providing a brief  
20 overview of the application and its features. As we believe  
21 this new mapping options presented here today has met the ask of  
22 the Committee. So, please note you may also follow along the  
23 slide deck as this information view and discuss will align with  
24 the presentation. Just give me a few moments here to access the  
25 application and we'll get started.

1 Thank you. Are you guys still seeing the presentation  
2 or are we in the application?

3 MALLOY PETERSON: Presentation, but the app did come  
4 up for like a second.

5 TIFFANI COPE: Okay. One moment. Let me try that  
6 again. Okay. I see. What are you seeing? Are you guys seeing  
7 the application now?

8 MALLOY PETERSON: Yes.

9 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Now, we see the application.

10 TIFFANI COPE: Okay. Great. My apologies and thank  
11 you for your patience. So, when you initially enter the  
12 application, this is the initial map you will see. Which  
13 include multiple layers including projects and collections. I'm  
14 going to remove some of the layers and we'll add them back on as  
15 the discussion progress. So, I'm going to remove the project  
16 layers and we will begin with the heat maps. So, as mentioned,  
17 heat maps are used during this analysis. As heat maps  
18 symbolizes density and are used to highlight core areas of  
19 collection. You can see the heat of course spreads throughout  
20 each of the three service areas and within each area you will  
21 notice the hot spots. The hot spots indicate the most  
22 concentrated areas of collection within each service area.

23 In looking at the map here, you'll notice most of the  
24 heat lies within the north side and south side service areas.  
25 And as you'll see later when we review the financials, this is



1 where collections are indeed the greatest. Adding another layer  
2 to the collection heat map, we will add now the fee clusters.  
3 Which again are these colored dots. These dots represent the  
4 number of developments that contributed fees to the area  
5 generated by the heat. Within the application here, there also  
6 lies a legend which would detail what the features represent.  
7 So, for each color, it represents the various fiscal years we're  
8 reviewing today. Which again, our fiscal year is 15 through 20.  
9 And again, it represents the number of developments within each  
10 service area. So, the larger the colored dot or fee cluster,  
11 the larger number of contributors were for that area.

12           So, allow me to dig a little deeper into the fee  
13 clusters to display the interactive nature of the application.  
14 So, if you were to select one of the fee clusters, we're --  
15 we'll just go with the small one here. You'll notice the  
16 application will inform you that the fee cluster features five  
17 features. And those represent the five developers that  
18 contributed fees to that area.

19           The application also allows you to drill down even  
20 further and review each record for each development that  
21 contributed. So, for example, our first record here. It also  
22 has the Accela link and Accela is our system where impact fees  
23 are accessed as well as collected. And if you were to click on  
24 this link, it will take you further into the Accela system where  
25 you would be able to view the payer information, name, address,

1 and further details. The application also illustrates here the  
2 fiscal year the fees were paid. The date and time of payment.  
3 The Accela record ID. The identified service area. And the  
4 dollar amount of impact fees that were paid. In addition to the  
5 address of the development that paid the impact fee. And again,  
6 you can view this information for each record that makes up each  
7 individual fee cluster. So, let's take a quick look at a larger  
8 fee cluster. So, this cluster for example has 115 features.  
9 You have the ability now to view all 115 records to identify  
10 exactly what development paid the fee, the amount of the fees  
11 paid, when fees were paid, and what fiscal year those payments  
12 indicate.

13           Next, we'll move along to project encumbrances. If  
14 you're following along a slide that we're currently on slide  
15 five. Regarding project encumbrances, I'm going to remove some  
16 of the collection layers here. And again, we'll add upon as we  
17 go through the discussion. So, what are project encumbrances?  
18 Project encumbrances are projects in which impact fee funding  
19 has been obligated or allocated to a particular project via  
20 legislation. Impact fees must be allocated to a capital  
21 project, i.e. encumbered within six years of collection or must  
22 be refunded. Because of this, impact fees are encumbered on a  
23 first in and first out basis. So, let's add the project layers  
24 to the map and take a look at what we have. So, that it's not  
25 too convoluted, I'm going to remove some of the layers. So, I'm

1 removing the heat layer, as well as the fee clusters. And we'll  
2 take a look at the project encumbrances.

3           So, the green dots here represent project locations or  
4 intersections. The blue lines indicate linear projects along  
5 the intersection, usually defined by two or more intersections.  
6 Transportation projects as we know are not limited to a  
7 boundary. Therefore, there are projects that provide a level of  
8 service improvement to the community at large. As such, there  
9 may be some overlap between the boundaries, as you may see. In  
10 delving further into the map here, similar to the collection  
11 options that we just viewed, we're now able to view additional  
12 details regarding encumbrances as well.

13           So, if we were to select a project, we'll go with this  
14 one here. So, again we're able to see the records that make up  
15 that project indicator. And it will indicate the projects and  
16 represent the encumbrance dollars. So, for example this project  
17 provides the project description or name, the legislative ID  
18 that authorized the use of funds, the project number, the amount  
19 of funding authorized, and also indicates the amounts spent to  
20 date. This particular example the authorizing amount was fully  
21 used. However, if it were not, then we would see a number here  
22 for net available. And that of course would indicate the  
23 additional dollars available to spend. And similarly with the  
24 collections, we're able to go record by record and view that  
25 information as well.

1           In taking a look at the project encumbrances here on  
2 the map, again we're able to view -- excuse me, again, we're  
3 able to notice that most of the projects are concentrated in the  
4 north side and south side service areas. Which again does align  
5 with what we saw in the previous collection map because that's  
6 where collections are indeed the greatest as well. And that  
7 again just underscores that aligning and collection between  
8 collections and encumbrances.

9           Now, let's take a look at a comparison of collections  
10 to encumbrances. And so, we'll look at an overlay on each map.  
11 So, I'm going to add back the heat layer. So, here you will  
12 notice again the overlay of collections and encumbrances.  
13 Again, you can see that this does illustrate equitable  
14 distribution of impact fees. Where you see the most heat, again  
15 the collections, are also where you see the most project  
16 encumbrances developed as well. And let's view this same data  
17 using the fee clusters. So, I'm going to remove the heat and  
18 I'll add the fee clusters. So, again, it's easy to see that  
19 encumbrances do allow with the areas of collections as well as  
20 provide adequate level of service to those areas as well.

21           And so, again, that's just an overview of the new  
22 mapping application. Just wanted to demonstrate some of its  
23 features along with showing you guys the additional information  
24 that you now have easily accessible. So, I'm going to  
25 transition out of the application back over to the slides. If

1 Zoom will work with me. But I do highly encourage you all to  
2 access the system and view the data that's available to you.  
3 So, let me come out of that and get back to the slides. Just  
4 one quick moment here. As long as we've been remote, I should  
5 have this a little more smoothly by now, right? Let's see. I'm  
6 going to share. Are you guys seeing the presentation?

7 MALLOY PETERSON: Go back to the first page.

8 TIFFANI COPE: The first page? Okay. That's not what  
9 I'm trying to share. One moment.

10 MALLOY PETERSON: You skipped it. Now, it's to the  
11 side.

12 TIFFANI COPE: Okay. Okay. Let me do something here.  
13 One moment. I'm not sure what is going on. Okay. Let's try  
14 this. Okay. Are you guys seeing the presentation now?

15 MALLOY PETERSON: Yes. From the fee collections and  
16 project encumbrances page.

17 TIFFANI COPE: Yes. Thank you. Finally, there.  
18 Thank you all for your patience. So, we just viewed the visual  
19 representation of collections and encumbrances. So, now let's  
20 take a look at the financials. You'll notice using the three  
21 service areas encumbrances do largely balance with collections.  
22 Northside has 14.3 million in collections and 12.8 in  
23 encumbrances. Southside a little over seven million in  
24 collections and 4.4 in encumbrances. And westside 2.8 million  
25 in collections and 4.2 in encumbrances. I would like to note

1 here that about 1.7 million in westside encumbrances were for  
2 city wide projects. This number was previously listed separate  
3 as city wide encumbrance dollars. However, now that  
4 transportation has reported as the three service areas, this has  
5 been updated to reflect the correct area, which is the westside.  
6 You'll also notice there is some shortfall with project  
7 encumbrances in the southside. However, ATL DOT has made  
8 funding in this service area a priority and have projects in a  
9 pipeline that's next up for funding to address that disparity.  
10 Also, please note here that there is some variance with the  
11 totals not exactly matching. Due to the timing of encumbrance  
12 to collections. As mentioned earlier, funds are encumbered  
13 within six years of collecting. Which does have an impact on  
14 timing.

15 Thank you all for your time. We do have  
16 representatives from ATL DOT on with us today, if there are any  
17 specific questions regarding strategy or projects. But thank  
18 you again and I do turn it back over to you, Madam Chair.

19 MALLOY PETERSON: Thank you. Well, now we will open  
20 the floor to the Committee to ask any questions or make any  
21 remarks you may have. Please use the raise hand feature to  
22 recognize to speak or has to speak. Tiffany, I wanted to stary  
23 by saying that I think y'all did a really good job with that.  
24 It's something from the very beginning I'm not exactly sure how  
25 long we've all been on this Committee. Maybe somewhere like

1 around two years or so. But I felt like this was the first  
2 thing I needed to see like from day one and it wasn't available.  
3 And so, I just think y'all did a really good job. I did dig  
4 around and play with it a little while and I do understand it a  
5 little bit better since you went through it. So, I wanted to  
6 complement the team that I think half the battle is accessible  
7 now, but the next half of the battle will be putting it in  
8 places where people know that they can access it so that they  
9 don't keep saying impact fees are a big dark hole. So, did  
10 anybody else have any comments or -- Rod?

11           RODERICK TEACHEY: Rod Teachey. So, I agree with  
12 Malloy, it is a great tool. It seems to be very user friendly  
13 and makes it very easy to see the different aspects of the  
14 impact fee encumbrances and collections in a diagrammatic  
15 matter, which always helps to understand numbers. But a couple  
16 of questions. So, one -- one request actually on this last  
17 slide with the -- the chart at the bottom where you have the  
18 collections versus the encumbrances. And the -- it would help  
19 if you could see the percentage of collections by each service  
20 area compared to the percentage of encumbrances by each area.  
21 And I did a quick and dirty and as you mentioned, Tiffani, the  
22 northside collections and encumbrances were right on target.  
23 They were both at 50 percent of the total of each of those  
24 areas. The southside for collections was at 30 percent of the  
25 total collections, but only 20 percent of the encumbrances,

1 which you mentioned there was a shortfall on the southside. And  
2 in the westside was actually at 20 percent encumbrances versus  
3 10 percent of the total collections. So, it, you know, from a  
4 high level -- high level analysis, it's almost like there was an  
5 overspend on the westside at the -- at the detriment of the  
6 southside. Then you had mentioned that that has been identified  
7 and there are -- there are, I guess, distinctive place to try to  
8 rectify that. What is the projected timing of when the south --  
9 the southside encumbrances are going to catch up to the  
10 collections?

11           TIFFANI COPE: I have been informed by ATL DOT they do  
12 have some legislation in place to account for those southside  
13 projects. We do have Mr. Ian Mccrae from ATL DOT. He may be  
14 able to give further details regarding their timeline with  
15 pushing that legislation through. Let me unmute him and see if  
16 he's available to speak.

17           IAN MCCRAE: Good afternoon. Yeah. Even though this  
18 is showing -- this is for fiscal year 20, but we've already  
19 legislated three million dollars for more encumbrances. So, for  
20 fiscal year 21, I think it's coming out to with the northside is  
21 actually around 100 grand difference. The southside is still a  
22 bit low, but we have not legislated a couple of projects -- the  
23 South Boulevard project where we're asking for 1.25 million  
24 dollars. There is a couple of others we're looking at as far as  
25 in the southside. Milton Avenue is one which we're looking at a



1 possible round about location. So, we have not legislated those  
2 projects, but we do have a project in place. Some of them are  
3 on the 2022-26 list and some will be on the 2023-27 list. So,  
4 it'll be a little bit of time, but trust me we have way more  
5 projects than we do funds. Every year when people submit their  
6 requests, we have more and more projects that we have to look  
7 at. Does that answer your question?

8           RODERICK TEACHEY: Yes. It does. Thank you, sir. My  
9 second question is Tiffani you mentioned early on that the --  
10 the governances have to be done within six years of collections,  
11 otherwise, they have to be refunded. Are there any collections  
12 in jeopardy of being -- having to be refunded because they  
13 haven't been encumbered within that time period?

14           TIFFANI COPE: No, not at this time. We have had some  
15 refunds issued in FY 2020, but they were not related to that  
16 six-year mark.

17           RODERICK TEACHEY: And those refunds go back to the  
18 project that paid the fees? How does that work?

19           TIFFANI COPE: Those particular refunds were regarding  
20 credits that were issued. And so, yes. They went back to the  
21 developers that paid the fee. But regard refunds missing that  
22 six-year mark, there has not been a case where we've had to  
23 refund for that reason.

24           RODERICK TEACHEY: Okay. Thank you. And then my last  
25 question, if you don't mind, Tiffani, can you bring up that last

1 chart that you posted? Well, the picture, if you will.

2 TIFFANI COPE: Sure. Okay. You should see it now.

3 RODERICK TEACHEY: Yeah. I'm sorry. Not the chart,  
4 the actual -- the last heat map you showed. I'm sorry.

5 TIFFANI COPE: Okay. No problem. One --

6 RODERICK TEACHEY: Yeah. But this is the chart I was  
7 referring to that is showed the percentages next to the  
8 collection amounts and project encumbrances at the bottom that  
9 would help to tell the story pretty quickly. Yes, that one.  
10 Thank you. So -- and we -- we've talked through this ad nauseum  
11 as a committee, but when you look at where the collections are  
12 say in the westside or the southside, it seems like there is a  
13 little bit of disparity inside those particular service areas of  
14 where the money is being spent and where the collections are.  
15 Even though, you know, I know you guys look at it and totality  
16 for each sub area, but if you drill down into the specific areas  
17 in a sub area, it seems like there is still, you know, there is  
18 -- there is some areas that are lacking in terms of getting  
19 expenditures where there are collections.

20 MALLOY PETERSON: Rod, that was the exact comment I  
21 was going to make.

22 RODERICK TEACHEY: Of course.

23 MALLOY PETERSON: Because when you put the -- and I  
24 was actually going to ask them to pull that same map up because  
25 do -- are they equitable within the three districts? That's

1 what we're here to answer, I believe. But it -- I felt that I  
2 needed to at least just say that it feels like the northwest has  
3 got -- I mean, the biggest heat map on the entire thing on the  
4 top northwest, it sort of straddles the westside and straddles  
5 the northside. Three big old -- there aren't -- they look like  
6 two intersections or something there. But all the long projects  
7 on the westside, it all seems very centralized to the city with  
8 the exception of the westside. And again, this is council  
9 members and the citizens' request. It's not our decision to  
10 make. So, we sure this has been thought through, but it's just  
11 worth nothing that I see the same thing in the southside here.  
12 Southeast on this map and northwest. It just seems --  
13 especially, northwest seems a little like it could deserve a  
14 little love. So, I think that's what you were trying to say,  
15 Rod, or what you were saying. But I agree, because I don't know  
16 if that's part of our assignment, necessarily, but --

17           TIFFANI COPE: Yes. We do look at it on a -- a larger  
18 scale based on the service areas. Not necessarily within a  
19 certain mile range from where the fee was collected. This  
20 analysis does just take into account largely based on each  
21 service area.

22           MALLOY PETERSON: Are there any other questions?

23           RODERICK TEACHEY: I just have -- I'm sorry. Rod  
24 Teachey. Follow up to that, Tiffani. So, are you saying when  
25 the expenditures and encumbrances are determined, you've don't

1 particularly look at the -- the kind of closer service areas  
2 inside the larger three surface areas? Is that what you're  
3 saying?

4           TIFFANI COPE: Well, all of that is taken into  
5 account. Our effort -- well, ATL DOT and Ian, if you want to  
6 speak to this, certainly jump in. But we do look at it again on  
7 that larger scale. Transportation projects just by their very  
8 nature benefits the community at large. So, we don't  
9 necessarily look at where the fee was collected and take a look  
10 within a certain mile radius to determine where projects should  
11 lie. It's just looked at on that larger scale. But it does  
12 have a level of service benefit. Possibly to the community at  
13 large and would benefit the development that paid the fees. But  
14 that is an effort and the analysis that ATL DOT conducts. They  
15 take a look at that information.

16           IAN MACRAE: Do you need more information or did she  
17 answer your question?

18           RODERICK TEACHEY: No, she answered the question. But  
19 I'd be curious to get DOT's or ATL's perspective on that. If --  
20 if there is anything to add.

21           IAN MACRAE: Well, collections versus location of  
22 projects. Sometimes a project might not be located where you  
23 see the high dot. But the trickle-down affect might be further  
24 away. Say those three dots, they seem like they're near --  
25 where is I-75 on there? But basically, we have new projects in

1 the 2021 that we just legislated. So, they are within that  
2 area. Like, Moores Mill Road, Howell Mill Road, and West  
3 Wesley. So, those projects themselves are intersection projects  
4 that will help as far as traffic relief. So, it's not shown on  
5 this map, but those are two projects that have been legislated.  
6 But we do look at partially where collections are versus where  
7 the needs are. But it's -- it's -- it's just a balance of  
8 what's needed versus where collections are basically.

9 MALLOY PETERSON: So, what is the process for keeping  
10 this updated given that legislation is happening all the time  
11 and there are some projects that aren't even on this one or  
12 whatever? You know, kind of who -- who in staff will handle  
13 that? How often will we or the public be able to see it?

14 IAN MACRAE: Well, as far as ATL DOT encumbrances  
15 versus collections, Shontae, who is out sick today, I believe  
16 she contracted COVID. So, she was not able to make it today.  
17 But she works with the finance group and they update this  
18 information about every quarter. So, that chart you see has  
19 been updated. We updated it for fiscal year 21 just recently,  
20 but it has not been vetted through the financial groups yet.

21 TIFFANI COPE: Malloy, I would like to also note that  
22 our review of this information with the Committee takes place  
23 annually.

24 MALLOY PETERSON: Okay. Okay. Are there any other  
25 questions? From the group? Okay. So, now we will vote if we

1 believe there is equitable distribution of where transportation  
2 impact fees are spent versus where they are collected in the  
3 2022 to 2026 CIE. Members of the Committee will vote with an  
4 affirmative yea if they believe transportation impact fees have  
5 been distributed equally or with a nay to the contrary. Stacey  
6 McCoy?

7 STACEY MCCOY: Yea.

8 MALLOY PETERSON: Rod Teachey?

9 RODERICK TEACHEY: Yea.

10 MALLOY PETERSON: And Malloy Peterson votes yea. So,  
11 by a vote of three to zero, the Committee agrees that  
12 transportation impact fees have been distributed equally and  
13 that there is no perceived inequities in the encumbrances or  
14 expenditures of impact fee funds in the 2022 to 2026 CIE. We've  
15 come to the end of our agenda. Are there any additional  
16 comments or announcements from staff?

17 TIFFANI COPE: Yes. Thank you, Madam Chair. I would  
18 like to announce beginning January 31, 2022, we will be  
19 accepting project requests for the 2023, 2027 CIE via our online  
20 portal at [atlantaga.gov/cieupdate](http://atlantaga.gov/cieupdate). I would also like to make a  
21 note that I know you mentioned transparency in getting more  
22 engagement within an impact fee program. I would like to inform  
23 the Committee that we have created an informative video  
24 regarding impact fees and that video has circulated on our  
25 Twitter, our City Planning's YouTube, and it's also located on

1 the Impact Fee webpage as well. And that concludes the  
2 announcements, Madam Chair.

3 MALLOY PETERSON: Okay. Great.

4 JANIDE SIDIFALL: Just one more. This is Janide  
5 Sidifall. Department of State Planning. I just wanted to make  
6 one other announcement to Madam Chair.

7 MALLOY PETERSON: Sure.

8 JANIDE SIDIFALL: And that is to introduce Deepti  
9 Singh as our new business manager. She is replacing Kim Talon.  
10 Deepti started with us -- what was it, about mid-ish --

11 DEEPTI SINGH: Mid-October.

12 JANIDE SIDIFALL: Mid-October? Seems like longer,  
13 which is a good thing.

14 DEEPTI SINGH: I was about to say, is that good or  
15 bad?

16 JANIDE SIDIFALL: It's a good thing, for sure. So,  
17 just wanted to make sure that the members of the Committee were  
18 aware of the change and aware of our new business manager  
19 starting, you know, very recently replacing Kim and that she's  
20 available, you know, if -- if you can't get in touch with  
21 Tiffani, by all means reach out to Deepti and between her and  
22 Tiffani, and myself, and anybody else you might need anything  
23 from, we're all here to assist and support you. So, just wanted  
24 to make sure you were aware of that.

25 MALLOY PETERSON: Excellent. Well, welcome, Deepti.

1 DEEPTI SINGH: Thank you so much. I look forward to  
2 working with you all.

3 TIFFANI COPE: Welcome.

4 MALLOY PETERSON: Excellent. We're small, but mighty.  
5 All right. So, I will entertain a Motion to Adjourn in complete  
6 of exiting role call. If there is a Motion to Adjourn.

7 STACEY MCCOY: A Motion to Adjourn.

8 RODERICK TEACHEY: Second. Rod Teachey.

9 MALLOY PETERSON: I see we have a second. We'll take  
10 a vote to adjourn. Rod Teachey?

11 RODERICK TEACHEY: Second. Yes.

12 MALLOY PETERSON: All right. Yes. Stacey McCoy?

13 STACEY MCCOY: Yes.

14 MALLOY PETERSON: And I, Malloy Peterson, vote yes.  
15 The motion carries with a vote of three yeas, zero nays. We are  
16 adjourned. Thank you.

17 TIFFANI COPE: Thank you all and happy holidays to all  
18 of you.

19 MALLOY PETERSON: Bye. Have a great holiday.

20 STACEY MCCOY: Bye-bye. Take care.

21 RODERICK TEACHEY: Have a great holiday. Yeah.

22 MALLOY PETERSON: Bye-bye.

23 (Recording ends)  
24  
25



C E R T I F I C A T I O N

Esquire Deposition Solutions, does hereby certify that through an independent contractor we have transcribed the audio, and that the foregoing is a true and complete transcription of the audio transcribed.

Inaudible or indiscernible passages of sound are denoted.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my hand on this 20th day of December, 2021.

*ESQ /s/*

/s/

Esquire Depositions Solutions